Total Injury and Viole	nce							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	iges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta by someone els		ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
13.9	17.4	13.4	14.6	10.2	9.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	the survey, amor		drinking alcohol (nad driven a car o					
·	•			9.0	9.4	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
	tage of students v 30 days before the		apon (such as a gu	un, knife, or club	on at least 1			
20.6	20.5	19.9	17.5	17.5	18.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Injury and Viole		alth Rick Rehavi	ior and Percenta	ores.		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
	1100	itii Risk Denavi	or and refeema	iges		Elifeat Change	Quadratic Shange	2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN14: Percent survey)	tage of students v	who carried a gur	on at least 1 day	y during the 30 d	ays before the			
6.9	6.6	6.8	6.0	5.2	4.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v			roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
7.4	7.0	6.5	5.7	4.8	4.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v							
7.3	8.1	7.4	7.0	8.3	6.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v knife, or club on							
10.7	11.2	9.3	10.4	9.1	7.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Hea	ılth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN18: Percen months before	tage of students v	who were in a phy	vsical fight (one o	or more times dur	ing the 12			
32.4	31.3	35.9	27.6	23.9	22.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN20: Percen times during th	tage of students v	who were in a phyore the survey)	vsical fight on sch	nool property (on	e or more			
11.7	11.3	12.0	10.7	8.8	7.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
11./								
	tage of students v	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	rcourse			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	ence Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN27: Percer months before	ntage of students w	vho seriously cor	sidered attemptin	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
20.7	16.1	17.3	18.7	19.2	18.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v before the survey		about how they v	ould attempt sui	cide (during			
16.1	12.3	12.1	16.3	17.4	17.0	Increased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change
10.1								
QN29: Percer	ntage of students wey)	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
		who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	9.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percerbefore the surv	vey)	9.5 who attempted su	10.3	10.6 I in an injury, poi	9.6 soning, or	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

otal obacco Use								
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN31: Percen	tage of students	who ever tried cig	garette smoking (even one or two	ouffs)			
58.2	54.8	53.6	46.5	43.9	37.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN32: Percentime)	tage of students	who smoked a wh	nole cigarette bef	ore age 13 years	(for the first			
16.3	14.7	12.5	11.2	8.4	7.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: Percen days before the		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day o	during the 30			
21.4	22.2	19.7	17.4	14.1	10.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
QNFRCIG: Pe	ercentage of stude 2 30 days before	ents who currentl the survey)	y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more			
7.5	6.9	6.2	5.8	4.6	2.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	Percentage of str lays before the su	udents who curre	ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on al	ll 30 days			
4.8	5.3	3.9	3.7	3.4	1.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Tobacco Use	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students vey among studen							
10.1	8.9	5.2	7.4	8.3	5.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
store or gas sta	tage of students value of the 3 who were aged <	30 days before the						
11.4	14.1	18.8	15.1	8.6	10.0	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN36: Percen currently smok	tage of students v	who tried to quit sing the 12 months	smoking cigarette s before the surve	es (among studen	ts who			
51.1	57.5	51.1	46.8	49.3	49.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vast 1 day during the			cco (chewing tob	pacco, snuff,			
1	, s	6.9	7.1	6.6	6.2	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	itage of students v			rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on			
	stage of students valuring the 30 days	before the surve	y)	, ,	C	Degreesed 2000 2015	Net available§	No abanga
				rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available [§]	No change
at least 1 day d		18.0	y) 15.8 used cigarettes,	11.8	10.1	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available [§]	No change

15.3

84.5

86.1

Decreased, 2009-2015

Increased, 2009-2015

Increased, 2009-2015

Not available

Not available

Not available

Decreased

No change

No change

26.5

74.1

75.4

QNNOTOB3: Percentage of students who did not currently use cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

QNNOTOB2: Percentage of students who did not currently smoke cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

24.4

78.2

78.9

20.1

80.5

82.4

Total

 $^{^*}Based$ on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[†]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal Icohol and Otho	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percent than a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
26.7	23.5	25.6	21.3	19.3	16.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who currently dra efore the survey)	ank alcohol (at lea	ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
47.1	45.6	44.5	43.8	36.0	34.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
			r more drinks of a		within a			
30.8	30.4	27.4	26.5	20.1	19.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who usually obtai	ined the alcohol to	hey drank by son	neone giving it			
				35.8	44.4	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Increased
QN47: Percent	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
42.0	42.3	42.8	42.8	43.3	42.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal Icohol and Oth	_	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
12.6	13.0	11.8	11.4	9.8	10.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percen	tage of students ve survey)	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times de	uring the 30			
20.0	22.0	23.7	22.9	23.5	23.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
15.1	14.4	11.5	10.5	10.1	9.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v							
	14.6	13.9	12.7	10.7	8.1	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v more times during		roin (also called '	'smack," "junk,"	or "China			
4.3	5.2	3.5	4.0	4.7	2.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v			(also called "spec	ed," "crystal,"			
8.8	8.6	5.7	5.2	4.7	3.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		vho ever took ste	roids without a d	octor's prescription	on (pills or			
	ntage of students v nore times during							
			5.2	5.9	4.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
shots, one or n 5.6 QN59: Percer	nore times during	their life) 4.2 who were offered	, sold, or given a			No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Cotal Sexual Behaviors	1							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percent	tage of students v	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
42.8	46.1	48.6	46.9	45.4	39.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN61: Percent	tage of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
5.7	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.2	3.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
13.5	15.4	14.1	14.2	10.9	10.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who were current onths before the s	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
30.2	33.6	34.5	33.5	32.5	29.0	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
		who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
23.4	27.6	22.4	22.4	20.6	23.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Sexual Behavior								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students were currently sex		om (during last se	xual intercourse a	among			
55.1	55.5	59.7	59.2	55.1	55.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students vancy among stude				course to			
15.3	13.9	16.7	22.6	17.5	20.7	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
(e.g., Implano	Percentage of stu n or Nexplanon) (were currently sex	before last sexua	n IUD (e.g., Mire I intercourse to pr	ena or ParaGard) revent pregnancy	or implant among			
				1.3	4.3	No linear change	Not available§	No change
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of str r birth control ring rently sexually act	g (e.g., NuvaRing	a shot (e.g., Depo) (during last sex	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse ar	(e.g., mong students			
	•			5.4	2.4	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal exual Behavior	rs							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
patch, or birth	Percentage of str control ring (beforently sexually act	ore last sexual int						
	, ,	,		24.2	27.4	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
IUD or implar	Percentage of st at; or a shot, patch nancy among stud	, or birth control	ring before last s	exual intercourse				
				7.6	7.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
	Percentage of st ercourse among st				nancy (during			
17.1	18.3	15.5	14.7	17.5	14.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal /eight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QNOWT: Per for body mass chart	centage of studer index, based on s	ats who were over sex- and age-spec	rweight (>= 85th ific reference dat	percentile but <9 a from the 2000 (5th percentile CDC growth			
13.6	14.0	14.3	13.9	12.7	14.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			pese (>= 95th per m the 2000 CDC		mass index,			
11.8	11.6	12.8	10.9	10.7	10.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percen	tage of students	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
32.0	28.8	30.1	29.4	26.6	30.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	Increased
QN70: Percen	tage of students	who were trying t	o lose weight					
			46.6	46.5	48.5	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
			a can, bottle, or before the surve		pop (not			
	20.5	20.4	24.2	27.8	27.8	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
	29.5	28.1	24.1	19.7	19.5	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change
QNSODA2: I	Percentage of stud	ents who drank a	can, bottle, or gl	ass of soda or po	p two or more			
QNSODA2: I	Percentage of stud (not including die	ents who drank a t soda or diet pop 19.8	can, bottle, or gl o, during the 7 day 15.9	ass of soda or popys before the surv	p two or more vey)	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
imes per day	(not including die	t soda or diet pop 19.8 ents who drank a	15.9 a can, bottle, or gl	12.6 ass of soda or po	10.8 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
imes per day	(not including died 20.1 Percentage of stud	t soda or diet pop 19.8 ents who drank a	15.9 a can, bottle, or gl	12.6 ass of soda or po	10.8 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015 Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available Not available	No change
QNSODA3: I	20.1 Percentage of studing dientification of the control of the c	t soda or diet pop 19.8 ents who drank a ng diet soda or di 10.9	15.9 can, bottle, or glet pop, during the	12.6 ass of soda or poe 7 days before th	p three or e survey) 5.4			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal Veight Management and Dietary Behaviors Health Risk Behavior and Percentages					Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QNBK7DAY: the survey)	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7 o	lays (during the 7	days before			
,				31.4	35.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Physical Activity	7							
	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (do	tage of students ving any kind of plome of the time do	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m				
			47.4	41.9	46.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some or	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he				
			15.4	17.3	15.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doi:	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity that	at increased their	heart rate and ma				
			25.0	21.7	26.0	No linear change	Not available	Increased
QN81: Percenschool day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	n an average			
32.8	28.2	33.3	28.6	27.1	24.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal Sysical Activity		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
			or computer gan school work on ar					
18.6	21.4	22.1	27.7	36.9	40.5	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students when they were in		rsical education c	lasses on 1 or mo	re days (in an			
42.9	40.7	42.9	41.7	39.9	40.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud when they were in		ed physical educa	tion classes on all	5 days (in an			
26.2	26.9	32.6	29.6	23.0	26.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN84: Percen	tage of students voups during the 1	who played on at 2 months before	least one sports t	eam (run by their	school or			
47.1	46.0	51.9	50.4	50.5	49.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

otal ther	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN89: Percen before the surv		who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 1	2 months			
59.8	58.7	59.1	63.9	64.6	70.2	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

-Added	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
						Ü		2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
			or other vehicle of the 30 days before		who had			
		26.0	19.9	18.0	15.0	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN91: Percen 30 days before		who carried a gur	on school prope	rty (on at least 1	day during the			
	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.1	1.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN92: Percen	tage of students	who most of the t	ime or always fee	el safe and secure	at school			
	73.5	77.6	77.1	75.8	78.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN93: Percen boyfriend or gi	ntage of students virlfriend (during t	who were ever his	t, slapped, or phy fore the survey)	sically hurt on pu	rpose by their			
10.5	12.2	11.8	11.4	10.1	8.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
			arassed or bullied		ty (once or			
				• ,				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Site-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v , monthly, weekly							
		24.7	25.6	20.3	18.3	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
chat rooms, in	ntage of students v stant messaging, he 12 months befo	web sites, or text						
		22.8	21.1	19.8	19.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
e-mail, chat ro	ntage of students vooms, instant mess ly, during the 12 i	saging, web sites,	or text messagin	meone else (such g, once or twice,	as through monthly,			
		17.5	14.6	11.5	12.3	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
damaged on so	ntage of students vehool property one ore the survey)							
	-	26.5	28.9	23.3	19.0	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

tal e-Added	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students s s cutting or burnin							
	•	19.8	19.7	22.6	19.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN101: Perc	entage of students	14.8	16.7	13.3	15.8 even one or	No linear change	Not available	No change
··· - P ··· - (28.4	37.9	40.7	38.5	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
QN102: Perc	entage of students a, or narghile on a	s who currently us at least 1 day durin	sed a waterpipe to	smoke tobacco (fore the survey)	also called a			
,		15.0	17.9	22.7	17.4	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	Decreased
,								
QN103: Perc	entage of students at least 1 day dur			someone who wa	s smoking			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Site-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	entage of students e as never allowe			oking cigarettes in	side the home			
		86.2	85.2	87.3	91.3	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN105: Perce least 1 day dur	entage of students ing the 30 days b	who had at least efore the survey)	one drink of alco	ohol on school pro	operty (on at			
7.5	6.0	5.9	6.2	6.5	5.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students lays before the su		ana on school pr	operty (one or mo	ore times			
5.1	6.1	6.4	5.6	6.5	7.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students ase (one or more			ocaine, including ne survey)	powder,			
6.1	6.6	4.8	4.5	5.9	4.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

2009 2011 o currently sniffed glue, sprays to get high (one of 6.0 5.3) o currently used metham against the 30 currently used metham.	4.5 uphetamines (also ca	3.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
6.0 5.3 currently used metham	4.5 uphetamines (also ca	3.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
currently used metham	phetamines (also ca		Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		lled "speed",			
iore unies during the st	days before the sur				
2.6 3.5	3.8	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
currently used ecstasy survey)	(also called "MDM.	A", one or more			
6.4 7.5	6.9	4.4	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available [§]	No change
3	attended school under	attended school under the influence of alco	2.	attended school under the influence of alcohol or other	attended school under the influence of alcohol or other

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

otal te-Added								
	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	entage of students ring the 30 days b			ter drugs to get hi	igh (one or			
9.2	9.2	8.8	9.8	9.0	6.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	entage of students e first time they ha							
		20.3	19.8	14.2	20.1	No linear change	Not available§	T.,
						E		Increased
	entage of students ut what they expe				amily talked			Increased
					amily talked 65.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
with them about QN116: Perce	ut what they expe	ct them to do or 1 67.5 who receive the	70.2 most information	68.4 during their life a	65.4 about sexual		Not available	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Site-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students aining weight (als							
14.5	13.9	13.7	14.4	15.6	15.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students weight or to keep							
7.9	6.8	7.2	7.8	7.6	8.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students (during the 30 da			lose weight or to	keep from			
6.6	6.9	5.8	6.1	8.8	7.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Iale njury and Viole		alth Risk Behav	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from		
						J		2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
16.6	20.1	15.2	15.3	12.1	10.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN11: Percen 30 days before days before the	atage of students verthe survey, amore survey)	who drove when ng students who l	drinking alcohol on a driven a car o	(one or more time r other vehicle du	es during the uring the 30			
				10.8	10.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN13: Percen day during the	ntage of students v 30 days before th	who carried a we	apon (such as a g	un, knife, or club	on at least 1			
30.3	29.4	29.5	26.9	24.7	25.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN14: Percen survey)	tage of students v	who carried a gur	(on at least 1 day	y during the 30 d	ays before the			
11.0	10.9	10.7	9.9	7.8	6.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Injury and Viole	nce							
	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v			roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
11.0	9.8	9.0	8.3	6.4	6.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v							
6.6	8.4	6.8	7.1	8.3	5.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v knife, or club on							
14.4	13.5	12.6	13.1	9.9	9.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percen	tage of students v the survey)	who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times dur	ring the 12			
41.2	36.0	43.6	36.4	30.4	28.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Aale njury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN20: Percentimes during the	tage of students v	who were in a phyore the survey)	ysical fight on scl	nool property (on	e or more			
16.2	14.8	16.4	14.7	10.7	9.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN21: Percent	tage of students v	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
7.7	6.9	7.5	7.1	7.1	6.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN26: Percen a row so that the	tage of students v	who felt sad or hog some usual activ	opeless (almost evities during the	very day for 2 or 12 months before	more weeks in the survey)			
24.9	24.4	28.9	28.5	28.4	24.6	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change
QN27: Percent months before	tage of students v	who seriously cor	nsidered attemptin	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
16.0	12.2	13.3	15.5	14.4	13.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Injury and Viole	nce							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students before the survey	who made a plan	about how they v	vould attempt sui	cide (during			
12.7	11.4	9.3	14.9	15.3	12.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN29: Percen before the surv		who attempted su	icide (one or mor	re times during th	e 12 months			
9.2	5.8	7.6	9.0	8.1	6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who attempted su by a doctor or nu						
2.9	2.9	3.7	2.8	3.2	1.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Iale 'obacco Use								
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN31: Percen	tage of students	who ever tried cig	garette smoking (even one or two	puffs)			
55.9	56.5	56.2	47.2	47.9	36.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
QN32: Percentime)	tage of students	who smoked a wl	nole cigarette bef	ore age 13 years	(for the first			
18.6	16.2	14.2	13.4	9.6	6.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: Percen		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day of	during the 30			
21.6	22.9	21.8	20.0	16.4	9.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
QNFRCIG: Po	ercentage of stude 30 days before	ents who currentl the survey)	y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more			
8.0	7.6	7.8	7.5	5.0	2.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
	Percentage of str lays before the st		ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on al	ll 30 days			
5.7	6.4	5.1	5.0	3.7	1.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Tobacco Use	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who smoked more ts who currently						
15.2	12.1	7.0	9.6	8.9	6.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who tried to quit sing the 12 months			ts who			
48.0	53.7	48.5	44.5	50.5	46.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who currently use ne 30 days before		acco (chewing tob	pacco, snuff,			
		10.7	10.4	9.0	9.5	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN38: Percen at least 1 day d	tage of students vuring the 30 days	who currently smo	oked cigars (ciga y)	rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on			
		21.4	21.1	15.5	13.4	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
		nts who currently days before the si		cigars, or smokel	ess tobacco			
		33.1	32.0	27.5	20.8	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	centage of stude	nts who currently	smoked cigarette	es or cigars (on a	t least 1 day			
S	,	30.3	29.3	24.1	17.5	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	Decreased

84.6

Increased, 2009-2015

Not available

No change

QNNOTOB2: Percentage of students who did not currently smoke cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)

75.0

79.3

72.4

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Alcohol and Oth	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
29.6	24.4	28.7	24.8	21.9	16.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
		who currently dra efore the survey)		st one drink of a	lcohol on at			
46.0	44.9	45.3	43.4	33.9	33.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who drank five or y during the 30 da			within a			
31.5	33.0	29.3	26.8	19.5	18.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN46: Percento them (amon	tage of students of students who co	who usually obtai urrently drank alo	ned the alcohol the	hey drank by son	neone giving it			
				33.0	34.2	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN47: Percen	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
42.0	43.8	45.4	45.0	47.0	44.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percer	ntage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the firs	st time)			
14.5	14.2	14.2	14.2	12.0	10.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percentages the	ntage of students v e survey)	who currently use	d marijuana (one	or more times du	uring the 30			
21.4	23.3	26.7	26.3	27.6	24.1	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change
	ntage of students v	who ever used coo		of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
	ase, one or more	umes during men						3.7 1
		12.8	11.1	11.6	10.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
crack, or freeb 15.5 QN51: Percen	ase, one or more	12.8 who ever used inh	nalants (sniffed gl	ue, breathed the	contents of	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v		roin (also called '	'smack," "junk,"	or "China			
5.4	6.3	3.8	4.9	5.6	3.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v			(also called "spec	ed," "crystal,"			
8.8	8.9	5.5	5.9	5.3	4.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntaga of students v		roids without a d	octor's prescription	on (pills or			
	nore times during	tneir life)						
		4.5	5.2	6.6	6.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
shots, one or n 6.5 QN59: Percer	nore times during	4.5	, sold, or given a			No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ale xual Behaviors	S							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percen	tage of students v	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
42.9	47.4	52.1	49.7	48.4	41.2	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN61: Percent	tage of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
7.9	7.2	7.8	7.9	6.4	3.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students v	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
16.5	18.1	17.4	16.6	11.6	10.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN63: Percent least one person	tage of students v	who were current onths before the s	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
27.4	32.8	34.2	35.9	31.4	29.1	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
		who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
32.6	35.2	28.0	25.8	26.6	21.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ale xual Behavior	s							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	ntage of students were currently sex		om (during last se	xual intercourse a	among			
59.5	64.8	70.6	69.2	62.2	59.0	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change
	ntage of students vancy among stude				course to			
12.5	12.8	17.6	20.2	14.3	21.1	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
(e.g., Implano	Percentage of stunn or Nexplanon) (were currently sex	before last sexua	n IUD (e.g., Mire l intercourse to p	ena or ParaGard) revent pregnancy	or implant among 3.2	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
		<u> </u>						
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of students birth control ring ently sexually act	g (e.g., NuvaRing	a shot (e.g., Depo) (during last sex	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse ar	(e.g., mong students			
				3.2	1.3	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Sexual Behavior	s							
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
patch, or birth		ore last sexual int	birth control pills ercourse to preve					
				18.6	25.6	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
IÙD or implan	t; or a shot, patch	, or birth control	both a condom d ring before last surrently sexually	exual intercourse				
				5.7	6.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
			not use any metho c currently sexual		nancy (during			
16.6	15.0	11.7	11.4	15.8	14.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	centage of studen index, based on s							
15.3	15.9	13.9	15.1	13.4	15.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNOBESE: I based on sex-	Percentage of stud	lents who were ob reference data from	pese (>= 95th per m the 2000 CDC	centile for body r	mass index,			
16.5	14.6	16.8	14.6	14.1	14.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
QN69: Percer 27.9	ntage of students v	who described the	emselves as slight 25.3	tly or very overw 21.9	eight 26.1	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	Increased
27.9		23.7	25.3			No linear change		Increased
27.9	24.0	23.7	25.3			No linear change No linear change		Increased No change
27.9 QN70: Percer QN77: Percer	24.0	who were trying t	25.3 o lose weight 31.5 a can, bottle, or	21.9 31.1 glass of soda or p	26.1		No change, 2009-2015	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

2 8	ment and Dietar Hea	-	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
	34.1	33.5	30.9	22.2	22.5	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change
	Percentage of stud (not including die 25.1					Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
QNSODA3: 1	(not including die	23.4 lents who drank a	20.8 a can, bottle, or gl	14.9 ass of soda or po	12.0 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
QNSODA3: 1	(not including die 25.1 Percentage of stud	23.4 lents who drank a	20.8 a can, bottle, or gl	14.9 ass of soda or po	12.0 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015 Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available Not available	No change
QNSODA3: 1 more times pe	(not including die 25.1 Percentage of studer day (not including die 25.1)	23.4 dents who drank a ng diet soda or di 13.5	20.8 a can, bottle, or gleet pop, during the 10.3	14.9 ass of soda or poe 7 days before th	p three or e survey) 5.8			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale eight Manage	ment and Dietar He	•	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		2013 2013
QNBK7DAY: the survey)	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7 o	lays (during the	7 days before			
3,				32.5	38.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Physical Activity	Ÿ							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (do	ntage of students ving any kind of plome of the time de	hysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	lay on 5 or ade them			
			56.2	50.4	52.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at l	Percentage of stream to a large east 1 day (doing eathe hard some o	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he	s of physical eart rate and			
			13.2	14.9	14.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doi	Percentage of stu ng any kind of ph ome of the time (c	ysical activity that	at increased their	heart rate and ma	tes per day on ade them			
			31.9	27.8	32.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percer school day)	ntage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	an average			
31.7	29.2	32.7	30.9	26.6	22.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale nysical Activity		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN82: Percen more hours per	tage of students v	who played video	or computer gan	nes or used a con n average school	nputer 3 or day)			
25.6	27.9	27.0	32.3	37.4	39.6	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students when they were in	who attended phy n school)	rsical education c	lasses on 1 or mo	ore days (in an			
50.6	48.0	52.5	49.0	48.1	47.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ercentage of stud when they were in	lents who attenden school)	d physical educa	tion classes on al	1 5 days (in an			
31.9	32.2	40.8	36.3	27.7	30.6	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
		who played on at 2 months before		eam (run by their	school or			
52.2	50.0	55.7	54.8	53.7	52.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Other							Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN89: Percent		who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 1	2 months			
55.0	50.0	55.5	58.2	56.4	65.7	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

lle e-Added								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN90: Percer been drinking	tage of students valcohol (one or m	who rode in a car nore times during	or other vehicle of the 30 days before	driven by a friend re the survey)	who had			
		28.3	19.6	18.4	14.6	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN91: Percen	tage of students v	who carried a gur	on school prope	rty (on at least 1	day during the			
	3.8	2.8	3.4	2.5	1.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN92: Percer	tage of students v	who most of the t	ime or always fee	el safe and secure	at school			
	72.9	77.3	73.8	74.2	76.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN93: Percer boyfriend or g	tage of students virlfriend (during t	who were ever his	s, slapped, or phy Fore the survey)	sically hurt on pu	rpose by their			
10.0	12.1	12.3	11.1	10.6	9.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v				ty (once or			
, ,	. 5,	24.0	30.0	23.9	25.5	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who have harassed y, or daily, during						
		29.9	29.0	20.0	19.9	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN96: Percenta	age of students v	who have been ele	ectronically bullion	ed (such as throug	gh e-mail,			
hat rooms, inst	age of students value tant messaging, value 12 months before	web sites, or text in ore the survey)	messaging, once	or twice, monthly	y, weekly, or	No linear abones	Not available	No shance
chat rooms, inst	tant messaging, v	web sites, or text	ectronically bullionessaging, once	ed (such as throug or twice, monthly 13.4	gh e-mail, y, weekly, or 14.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
chat rooms, inst laily, during the QN97: Percenta e-mail, chat roo	tant messaging, ve 12 months before the second students verse, instant mess	web sites, or text in ore the survey)	15.9 ically bullied sor or text messagin	13.4 meone else (such	y, weekly, or 14.7 as through	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

lle e-Added								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v s cutting or burnin vey)							
		15.4	14.8	17.3	12.3	No linear change	Not available§	Decreased
Redman, Levi	entage of students i Garrett, Beechnu	it, Skoal, Skoal B 21.2	andits, or Copenl 22.4	18.1	21.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students o called a hookah,			o smoke tobacco.	, even one or			
		32.2	39.4	40.2	37.1	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	entage of students a, or narghile on a				(also called a			
		17.7	19.1	22.9	16.7	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
	antaga of students	who were in the	same room with	someone who wa	s smoking			
	at least 1 day duri							

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Iale ite-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students e as never allowe			king cigarettes in	nside the home			
		85.8	84.4	87.5	90.9	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
	entage of students ing the 30 days b			shol on school pr	operty (on at			
8.9	7.9	6.0	7.6	6.7	5.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN106: Perce during the 30 c	entage of students lays before the su	who used mariju urvey)	ana on school pr	operty (one or me	ore times			
6.9	7.5	7.7	6.0	7.2	8.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students ase (one or more				g powder,			
7.5	7.9	5.7	5.8	7.3	4.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	inhaled any paints	who currently sn s or sprays to get						
7.1	6.2	5.4	4.7	5.7	3.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN109: Perce "crystal", "crar	ntage of students nk", or "ice", one	who currently us or more times du	ed methamphetar	mines (also calle before the survey	d "speed",			
6.0	5.3	3.1	3.5	4.9	3.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students ne 30 days before	who currently us the survey)	ed ecstasy (also	called "MDMA"	one or more			
		7.5	9.3	7.5	5.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		who attended sch or cocaine, one o						
	22.2	22.6	22.7	21.5	19.3	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale te-Added	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students uring the 30 days b			ter drugs to get h	igh (one or			
9.6	9.7	8.3	10.1	9.8	6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students e first time they ha					No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
ON115 D	entage of students				amily talked			
	out what they expe	ct them to do of i						
	63.1	65.0	67.4	66.7	61.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
with them about QN116: Perce		65.0 who receive the	67.4	66.7 during their life	about sexual	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Site-Added								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
				ours or more to lo ys before the surv				
11.2	8.9	9.3	8.2	10.3	9.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN118: Perceradvice to lose v	ntage of students weight or to keep	who took some of from gaining we	liet pills, powder ight (during the 3	s, or liquids with 80 days before the	out a doctor's e survey)			
6.7	5.1	6.1	7.2	6.1	6.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN119: Percer	ntage of students (during the 30 da	who vomited or ays before the sur	took laxatives to	lose weight or to	keep from			
4.5	5.3	4.2	3.7	7.6	5.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

emale njury and Viole	ence							
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percent by someone el	age of students wl	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in	a car driven			
11.2	14.6	11.7	13.7	8.1	8.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN11: Percer	ntage of students we the survey, amon	who drove when o	drinking alcohol (one or more time	es during the			
days before the		ig students who r	aad driven a car o	f other vehicle di	aring the 30	No linear change	Not available ⁸	No change
days before the QN13: Percer		who carried a wea		6.7	7.7	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
days before the QN13: Percer	e survey)	who carried a wea		6.7	7.7	No linear change	Not available ⁸ No quadratic change	No change
QN13: Percer day during the	ntage of students v	who carried a weate survey) 9.7	apon (such as a gr	6.7 un, knife, or club 9.8	7.7 on at least 1			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Injury and Violer		olth Diels Debossi	or and Percenta			Lincon Change*	Ovedvetic Change*	Changa from
	неа	atti Risk Deliavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who carried a wea 30 days before to		roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
3.8	4.1	3.4	3.0	3.3	2.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
				hey felt unsafe at				
7.9	7.8	7.5	6.6	7.8	6.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
				th a weapon on so on this before the su				
6.8	8.6	5.5	7.2	7.7	5.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percent months before t		who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times dur	ring the 12			
23.3	26.3	27.8	18.3	16.8	16.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Injury and Violen		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
								2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN20: Percenta			ysical fight on scl	nool property (on	e or more			
7.0	7.7	7.3	6.6	6.6	4.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN21: Percenta (when they did r		who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
14.2	13.2	14.2	12.8	14.2	11.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			opeless (almost evities during the					
43.7	40.6	41.0	38.9	44.3	44.0	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change
QN27: Percenta months before th		who seriously con	nsidered attemption	ng suicide (during	g the 12			
25.4	20.0	21.1	22.0	23.9	23.5	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 No change, 2009-2015	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Injury and Viole	nce							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	tage of students v before the survey	who made a plan	about how they v	vould attempt sui	cide (during			
19.5	13.2	14.4	17.6	19.2	21.3	Increased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change
QN29: Percent before the surve		who attempted su	icide (one or mor	re times during th	ne 12 months			
13.9	9.7	11.1	11.7	12.9	12.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who attempted su by a doctor or nu						
3.3	3.4	3.4	3.9	5.2	3.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

emale obacco Use								
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN31: Percen	ntage of students	who ever tried cig	garette smoking (even one or two p	ouffs)			
60.4	53.1	50.7	45.8	39.9	36.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN32: Percentime)	ntage of students	who smoked a wh	nole cigarette befo	ore age 13 years	(for the first			
13.8	13.2	10.5	8.7	7.2	6.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: Percen	ntage of students versions and students versions.	who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day o	luring the 30			
21.1	21.3	17.3	14.7	11.6	10.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QNFRCIG: Po	ercentage of stude 30 days before	ents who currentl	y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more	-		
7.0	6.1	4.6	4.2	4.2	2.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of str days before the su		ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on al	ll 30 days			
3.9	4.1	2.6	2.5	3.1	1.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

emale obacco Use								
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students ast 1 day during t			acco (chewing tob	pacco, snuff,			
		2.8	3.5	3.8	2.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QN38: Percer at least 1 day	ntage of students during the 30 day	who currently sm s before the surve	oked cigars (ciga	rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on			
		14.1	10.4	7.7	6.5	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	ercentage of stude day during the 30			cigars, or smokel	ess tobacco			
		23.1	20.1	16.7	14.7	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	ercentage of stude days before the st		smoked cigarette	es or cigars (on a	t least 1 day			
		22.1	19.3	15.5	12.9	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
QNNOTOB3:	: Percentage of st			igarettes, cigars,	or smokeless			
tobacco (on at	i least i day duriii	g the 50 days ber	ore the barvey,					

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

9 2011	2013	2015	_		
o d	2011 id not currently smoke civey)	id not currently smoke cigarettes or ciga	id not currently smoke cigarettes or cigars (on at	id not currently smoke cigarettes or cigars (on at	id not currently smoke cigarettes or cigars (on at

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

emale	er Drug Use							
	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percen than a few sips		who drank alcoho	ol before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
23.8	22.4	22.0	17.4	16.5	16.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN43: Percen least 1 day dur	tage of students ing the 30 days b	who currently dra efore the survey)	ank alcohol (at lea	ast one drink of a	lcohol on at			
48.3	46.1	43.4	44.4	37.8	36.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
			more drinks of a		within a			
30.0	27.6	25.1	26.2	20.4	19.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who usually obtai urrently drank alo	ined the alcohol t	hey drank by son	neone giving it			
				38.6	53.5	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Increased
QN47: Percen	tage of students	who ever used ma	arijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			
41.9	40.5	40.0	40.9	39.6	41.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
11.7	9.0	8.4	7.6	8.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times de	uring the 30			
20.4	20.4	19.6	19.3	22.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
13.7	9.8	10.0	8.3	7.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
11	tage of students versurvey) 20.4 tage of students versurvey) 20.4	2007 2009 tage of students who tried marijua 11.7 9.0 tage of students who currently use e survey) 20.4 20.4 tage of students who ever used co ase, one or more times during their	2007 2009 2011 tage of students who tried marijuana before age 13 11.7 9.0 8.4 tage of students who currently used marijuana (one e survey) 20.4 20.4 19.6 tage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of ase, one or more times during their life)	tage of students who tried marijuana before age 13 years (for the first 11.7 9.0 8.4 7.6 stage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times descrivey) 20.4 20.4 19.6 19.3 stage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, such a lase, one or more times during their life)	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 Itage of students who tried marijuana before age 13 years (for the first time) 11.7 9.0 8.4 7.6 8.9 Itage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 e survey) 20.4 20.4 19.6 19.3 22.1 Itage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, such as powder, ase, one or more times during their life)	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 Itage of students who tried marijuana before age 13 years (for the first time) 11.7 9.0 8.4 7.6 8.9 Decreased, 2005-2015 Itage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 e survey) 20.4 20.4 19.6 19.3 22.1 No linear change Itage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, such as powder, ase, one or more times during their life)	2007 2009 2011 2013 2015 tage of students who tried marijuana before age 13 years (for the first time) 11.7 9.0 8.4 7.6 8.9 Decreased, 2005-2015 No quadratic change survey) 20.4 20.4 19.6 19.3 22.1 No linear change No quadratic change stage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, such as powder, ase, one or more times during their life)

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

emale Alcohol and Oth	0	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	tage of students v		roin (also called '	'smack," "junk,"	or "China			
3.1	4.0	2.6	2.8	3.7	2.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN53: Percen "crank," or "ic	tage of students v	who ever used me mes during their	ethamphetamines life)	(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
8.8	8.3	5.3	4.4	3.9	2.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v		eroids without a d	octor's prescription	on (pills or			
4.6	5.3	3.4	4.9	4.7	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
	tage of students v			n illegal drug on	school			
37.4	35.4	30.9	30.7	28.3	28.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Sexual Behaviors	s							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percen	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse					
42.8	44.5	44.8	44.2	42.4	37.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN61: Percen	tage of students	who had sexual is	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
3.6	4.1	2.9	3.1	4.2	2.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN62: Percen their life)	itage of students	who had sexual i	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ons (during			
10.5	12.7	10.4	11.9	10.3	10.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN63: Percen least one perso	tage of students von during the 3 m	who were current onths before the	ly sexually active	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
32.9	34.3	34.4	31.3	33.3	28.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN64: Percen	tage of students vits who were curr	who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	pefore last sexual	intercourse			
15.9	20.4	15.9	18.8	15.4	25.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Sexual Behavior	-	alth Diale Dahari	ion and Danconto			Linear Change*	Overduntin Channes*	Changa fram
	ne	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges	Linear Change	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students were currently sex		om (during last se	xual intercourse a	among			
51.6	46.8	49.5	48.6	48.5	51.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students vancy among stude				course to			
17.5	15.0	16.1	25.3	19.6	20.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
(e.g., Implano	Percentage of stu n or Nexplanon) (were currently sex	before last sexua	n IUD (e.g., Mire l intercourse to p	ena or ParaGard) revent pregnancy	or implant among			
				1.5	5.4	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
OrthoEvra), or	Percentage of str r birth control ring rently sexually act	g (e.g., NuvaRing	a shot (e.g., Depo	o-Provera), patch ual intercourse ar	(e.g., mong students			
		•		7.4	3.5	Decreased, 2013-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male xual Behavior	·s							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	Percentage of str control ring (befo	ore last sexual int						
who were curr	ently sexually act	tive)						
who were curr	rently sexually act	tive)		28.6	28.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change
QNDUALBC: IUD or implar	rently sexually act : Percentage of stat; or a shot, patch	udents who used	ring before last se	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QNDUALBC:	: Percentage of st	udents who used	ring before last se	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change	Not available [§] Not available	No change No change
QNDUALBC: IUD or implar STD and preg	: Percentage of st	udents who used a, or birth control dents who were co	ring before last surrently sexually not use any metho	uring and birth coexual intercourse active) 8.5 d to prevent preg	ontrol pills; an (to prevent			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

		y Behaviors alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	rcentage of studen index, based on s							
11.7	12.0	14.6	12.6	12.0	14.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QNOBESE: I based on sex-	Percentage of stud and age-specific 1	ents who were ob reference data fro	pese (>= 95th per m the 2000 CDC	centile for body r	mass index,			
6.9	8.4	8.6	6.9	7.1	7.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
36.2	33.7	36.6	33.8	31.2	35.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
30.2								
	ntage of students v	who were trying t	o lose weight					
	ntage of students v	who were trying t	o lose weight 62.1	62.3	61.1	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
QN70: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not drink	62.1 a can, bottle, or	glass of soda or p		No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Weight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
	24.6	22.6	17.6	16.9	16.3	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
	15.0	16.1	11.2	10.4	9.3	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
	Percentage of stud r day (not including							
	8.0	8.3	6.3	4.6	4.9	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
QN79: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before	the survey)			
				15.6	15.5	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ale ght Managei	ment and Dietar He	•	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
NBK7DAY:	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7 o	lays (during the	7 days before			
3,				30.4	32.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Physical Activity								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students v ng any kind of ph ome of the time du	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	lay on 5 or ade them			
			38.8	33.2	40.0	No linear change	Not available§	Increased
activity on at le	Percentage of stu east 1 day (doing athe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	ncreased their he	s of physical eart rate and			
			17.5	19.8	17.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doir	Percentage of stung any kind of phyome of the time (d	ysical activity tha	at increased their	heart rate and ma	tes per day on ade them			
			18.1	15.5	19.3	No linear change	Not available	Increased
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	an average			
34.0	27.3	34.0	26.6	27.7	26.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

emale hysical Activity								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	iges		Linear Change* —	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
			or computer gan school work on ar					
11.6	15.0	17.0	23.2	36.5	41.5	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students when they were is		sical education c	lasses on 1 or mo	re days (in an			
35.1	33.3	33.1	34.4	31.3	34.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud when they were i		d physical educa	tion classes on al	1 5 days (in an			
20.4	21.5	24.3	23.2	18.5	21.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN84: Percent	tage of students oups during the 1	who played on at 2 months before	least one sports t	eam (run by their	school or			
42.1	41.8	48.0	46.2	47.3	45.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Other	Не	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN89: Percen		who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 1	2 months			
64.7	67.8	63.1	70.1	73.6	75.1	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

emale lite-Added								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN90: Percen	tage of students valcohol (one or m	who rode in a car nore times during	or other vehicle of the 30 days before	driven by a friend re the survey)	l who had			
		23.4	20.2	17.4	15.0	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN91: Percen 30 days before		who carried a gun	on school prope	rty (on at least 1	day during the			
	1.1	0.8	1.3	1.7	0.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN92: Percen	tage of students v	who most of the t	ime or always fee	el safe and secure	at school			
	74.2	78.5	80.6	78.0	80.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
		who were ever hit he 12 months bef		sically hurt on pu	irpose by their			
10.8	12.4	11.0	11.7	9.3	7.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
		who have been hay, during the 12 n			rty (once or			
	•	28.3	30.8	34.0	32.1	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_			
QN95: Percentage of students who have harassed or bullied someone else on school property (once or twice, monthly, weekly, or daily, during the 12 months before the survey)									
		18.7	21.9	20.3	16.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change	
chat rooms, in	ntage of students v stant messaging, v he 12 months befo	web sites, or text							
chat rooms, in	stant messaging, v	web sites, or text				No linear change	Not available	No change	
chat rooms, indaily, during the daily, during the daily. QN97: Percene-mail, chat ro	stant messaging, v	web sites, or text ore the survey) 28.5 who have electror raging, web sites,	messaging, once 26.4 ically bullied sor or text messagin	26.4 meone else (such	y, weekly, or 24.2 as through	No linear change	Not available	No change	
chat rooms, indaily, during the daily, during the daily. QN97: Percene-mail, chat ro	stant messaging, value 12 months before the 12 months before the 12 months before the 12 months with the 12	web sites, or text ore the survey) 28.5 who have electror raging, web sites,	messaging, once 26.4 ically bullied sor or text messagin	26.4 meone else (such	y, weekly, or 24.2 as through	No linear change Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available Not available	No change No change	
QN97: Percene-mail, chat ro weekly, or dail	stant messaging, value 12 months before the 12 months before the 12 months before the 12 months with the 12	web sites, or text ore the survey) 28.5 who have electror taging, web sites, nonths before the 19.0 who reported that	26.4 inically bullied son or text messaging survey) 15.9 their property ha	26.4 meone else (such g, once or twice, 10.7 d been stolen or o	as through monthly, 12.8 deliberately				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

nale e-Added								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students vs cutting or burnin							
		24.4	24.6	27.9	26.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	entage of students Garrett, Beechnu				(such as			
		8.0	10.5	8.5	10.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN101: Perc two puffs (als	entage of students o called a hookah,	who have ever u shisha, or narghi	sed a waterpipe t le)	o smoke tobacco	, even one or			
QN101: Perc two puffs (als	entage of students o called a hookah	who have ever us, shisha, or narghi 24.3	sed a waterpipe t le) 36.5	o smoke tobacco.	, even one or 39.7	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
two puffs (als QN102: Perc	entage of students o called a hookah, entage of students a, or narghile on a	shisha, or narghi 24.3 who currently us	de) 36.5 ed a waterpipe to	41.2 smoke tobacco	39.7	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
two puffs (als QN102: Perc	o called a hookah, entage of students	shisha, or narghi 24.3 who currently us	de) 36.5 ed a waterpipe to	41.2 smoke tobacco	39.7	Increased, 2009-2015 Increased, 2009-2015	Not available Not available	No change No change
QN102: Perchookah, shish	o called a hookah, entage of students	shisha, or narghi 24.3 who currently us t least 1 day durin 11.9	ed a waterpipe to get the 30 days be 16.5	41.2 smoke tobacco fore the survey) 22.3	39.7 (also called a			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Site-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	entage of students e as never allowe			king cigarettes insi	de the home			
		86.9	86.2	87.3	91.7	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available§	Increased
QN105: Perce least 1 day dur	entage of students ing the 30 days b	who had at least efore the survey)	one drink of alco	ohol on school prop	erty (on at			
6.0	4.1	5.5	4.8	6.3	4.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students lays before the su		ana on school pr	operty (one or more	e times			
3.2	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.5	6.2	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students ase (one or more			ocaine, including p	owder,			
4.4	5.2	3.6	3.0	3.9	3.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male e-Added								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students inhaled any paints ey)							
6.1	5.3	6.1	5.6	2.9	2.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students nk", or "ice", one							
3.4	4.1	1.5	3.5	2.2	1.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students the 30 days before		ed ecstasy (also	called "MDMA"	, one or more			
		4.8	5.3	5.7	3.0	No linear change	Not available§	No change
	ntage of students uch as marijuana							
	18.5	18.3	18.4	16.9	12.8	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

male e-Added	***	aldi. Diala Dalaas	dan and Dana are			Linear Change*		Change
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	entage of students uring the 30 days b			ter drugs to get h	igh (one or			
8.8	8.6	8.6	9.2	8.0	5.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN114: Perco	entage of students	who reported the	eir partners were	three or more yea	rs older than	Tio mear change	1	
QN114: Perce		who reported the	eir partners were	three or more yea	rs older than	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
QN114: Percethemselves the intercourse) QN115: Percet	entage of students	s who reported the ad sexual intercount of the	eir partners were urse (among stude 25.8	three or more yea ents who have had 17.2 er adults in their f	rs older than d sexual 26.2			
QN114: Percethemselves the intercourse) QN115: Percet	entage of students e first time they ha	s who reported the ad sexual intercount of the	eir partners were urse (among stude 25.8	three or more yea ents who have had 17.2 er adults in their f	rs older than d sexual 26.2			
QN114: Perceit themselves the intercourse) QN115: Perceivith them about the about th	entage of students e first time they ha entage of students out what they expe	who reported the ad sexual intercount of the ad sexual int	25.8 eir parents or other of to do when it 72.8 most information	three or more yearents who have had a 17.2 er adults in their fromes to sex 70.1 during their life	rs older than d sexual 26.2 amily talked 68.7 about sexual	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Site-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students aining weight (als							
17.8	19.0	17.8	20.6	21.1	20.6	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students weight or to keep							
9.1	8.4	8.3	8.5	9.0	9.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students (during the 30 da			lose weight or to	keep from			
8.7	8.6	7.4	8.5	9.8	8.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

hite* jury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta		ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in a	a car driven			
10.1	12.6	9.7	10.7	7.7	6.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	the survey, amor		drinking alcohol (had driven a car o					
				9.2	10.5	37 11 1	Not available¶	
				9.2	10.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN13: Percenday during the	tage of students v 30 days before th	who carried a wea	apon (such as a gu			No linear change	Not available	No change
QN13: Percenday during the	tage of students v 30 days before th 19.0	who carried a weater survey) 21.6	apon (such as a gu			No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
day during the 20.9	30 days before th	ne survey) 21.6		un, knife, or club	on at least 1 20.8			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Thite* jury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change† —	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			2013-2013
	tage of students v			roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
7.6	5.4	6.6	5.4	4.6	4.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v							
5.2	6.2	5.6	3.9	7.1	4.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	tage of students v							
10.7	9.8	8.3	8.3	9.2	6.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN18: Percent	tage of students v	who were in a phy	ysical fight (one o	or more times dur	ring the 12			
29.7	24.9	32.6	24.2	20.5	19.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Injury and Viole		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	oges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 [§]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v		ysical fight on scl	hool property (on	e or more			
10.0	7.2	11.5	8.3	7.1	4.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN21: Percent (when they did		who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	ercourse			
10.8	9.4	11.1	8.9	11.1	8.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN26: Percent a row so that the	tage of students values to stopped doing	who felt sad or hog some usual acti	opeless (almost evities during the	very day for 2 or 12 months before	more weeks in the survey)			
33.1	29.0	30.7	31.9	37.7	31.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN27: Percent		who seriously con	nsidered attemption	ng suicide (during	g the 12	+		
22.4	15.1	18.3	16.7	20.1	17.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	ntage of students v		about how they w	ould attempt sui	cide (during			
15.7	11.1	11.5	14.6	17.0	15.1	No linear change	Decreased, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	No change
	tage of students vey)	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
before the surv		0.7	7.2	10.7	8.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
before the surv 10.4	5.3	8.7						
QN30: Percen	5.3 stage of students valued to be treated by	who attempted su						

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

/hite* obacco Use								
	Hea	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN31: Percen	tage of students v	who ever tried cig	garette smoking (even one or two j	ouffs)			
54.7	51.8	47.6	45.7	42.5	36.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN32: Percentime)	itage of students v	who smoked a wh	nole cigarette bef	ore age 13 years ((for the first			
14.4	11.9	10.3	9.3	7.3	5.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: Percen days before the	ntage of students versus	who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day o	during the 30			
22.9	23.3	18.3	19.0	15.4	10.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ercentage of stude e 30 days before t		y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more			
9.0	8.4	8.6	7.4	6.8	3.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased

1.9

Decreased, 2005-2015

during the 30 days before the survey)

6.9

5.5

QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days

4.5

4.7

5.5

Decreased

No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

nite* bacco Use								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	nges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students vast 1 day during the			acco (chewing tob	pacco, snuff,			
		8.7	7.8	6.4	8.8	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	ntage of students v			rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on			
		17.5	16.9	13.4	11.1	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	ercentage of stude day during the 30			cigars, or smokel	ess tobacco			
		27.9	28.4	25.2	19.9	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	ercentage of stude days before the su		smoked cigarette	es or cigars (on at	t least 1 day			
		25.1	26.3	22.5	17.1	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
	: Percentage of str t least 1 day during			igarettes, cigars,	or smokeless			
tobacco (on a								

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

/hite* obacco Use	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QNNOTOB2:	Percentage of st	udents who did no before the survey)	ot currently smok					
least I day dui	ing the 50 days t	75.7	75.6	79.3	84.0	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percenthan a few sips	stage of students v	who drank alcoho	l before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
24.4	19.3	22.0	18.2	16.8	13.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students ving the 30 days b		nk alcohol (at lea	st one drink of al	cohol on at			
46.9	44.0	45.0	44.2	35.7	41.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN44: Percer	tage of students v s on at least 1 day	who drank five or during the 30 da	more drinks of a	lcohol in a row (within a			
30.2	31.0	26.8	28.1	19.1	24.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
				hav drank by som	neone giving it			
QN46: Percen	tage of students v g students who cu	vho usually obtai arrently drank alc	ned the alcohol the ohol)	ney drank by son	icone giving it			
QN46: Percen	tage of students v g students who cu	vho usually obtai ırrently drank alc	ned the alcohol the ohol)	37.4	42.1	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
QN46: Percer to them (amon	tage of students vg students who cu	urrently drank alc	ohol)	37.4	42.1	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

/hite* lcohol and Oth	_	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the fir	st time)			
10.6	9.2	9.9	7.6	7.9	6.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percendays before the	itage of students ve survey)	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times d	uring the 30			
20.0	20.1	23.3	22.9	22.2	21.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	s powder,			
12.7	11.3	8.7	9.4	9.4	7.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	atage of students v							
,	13.7	14.8	10.2	9.8	7.6	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. \$Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

		or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		oin (also called "	smack," "junk," (or "China			
4.6	2.6	3.3	3.9	2.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			(also called "spec	ed," "crystal,"			
6.2	5.0	5.2	4.5	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		oids without a do	octor's prescription	on (pills or			
4.4	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
i t	f students who e or more times 6.2 f students who mes during the 4.4	f students who ever used here times during their life) 4.6 2.6 f students who ever used met e or more times during their life 6.2 5.0 f students who ever took stermes during their life) 4.4 4.1	f students who ever used heroin (also called "times during their life) 4.6 2.6 3.3 f students who ever used methamphetamines or more times during their life) 6.2 5.0 5.2 f students who ever took steroids without a demes during their life) 4.4 4.1 4.5	f students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," of times during their life) 4.6 2.6 3.3 3.9 f students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "spector more times during their life) 6.2 5.0 5.2 4.5 f students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription mes during their life) 4.4 4.1 4.5 4.3	f students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China times during their life) 4.6 2.6 3.3 3.9 2.4 f students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," or more times during their life) 6.2 5.0 5.2 4.5 2.6 f students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or mes during their life)	f students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China times during their life) 4.6 2.6 3.3 3.9 2.4 No linear change f students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," e or more times during their life) 6.2 5.0 5.2 4.5 2.6 Decreased, 2005-2015 f students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or mes during their life) 4.4 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.4 No linear change	f students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China times during their life) 4.6 2.6 3.3 3.9 2.4 No linear change No quadratic change f students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," or more times during their life) 6.2 5.0 5.2 4.5 2.6 Decreased, 2005-2015 No quadratic change f students who ever took steroids without a doctor's prescription (pills or mes during their life) 4.4 4.1 4.5 4.3 4.4 No linear change No quadratic change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

/hite* exual Behaviors								
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percenta	age of students v	who ever had sex	ual intercourse	-				
40.1	40.4	43.6	46.3	42.1	37.7	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	No change
QN61: Percenta	age of students v	who had sexual ii	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
4.7	4.9	4.2	3.5	4.3	1.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN62: Percenta	age of students v	who had sexual ii	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
12.5	14.3	11.4	13.6	10.6	10.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN63: Percental least one person	age of students v	who were current onths before the	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
28.0	31.1	32.1	35.5	31.6	28.2	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	No change
QN64: Percenta (among students	age of students v	who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
23.1	31.0	26.5	24.4	22.5	26.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

tic Change [†] Change from 2013-2015 §
2013-2013
_
atic change No change
atic change No change
vailable [¶] No change
av

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* xual Behavior	s							
	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
patch, or birth		ore last sexual int	birth control pills ercourse to preve					
TILD WOLC CUIT								
were cuit		,		30.9	38.9	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
QNDUALBC: IUD or implan	Percentage of st	sudents who used	both a condom d ring before last surrently sexually	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
QNDUALBC: IUD or implan	Percentage of st	sudents who used	ring before last se	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change No linear change	Not available¶	No change
QNDUALBC: IUD or implan STD and pregr	Percentage of st tt; or a shot, patch nancy among stud	tudents who used a, or birth control dents who were control	ring before last se	uring and birth coexual intercourse active) 8.2 d to prevent preg	ontrol pills; an (to prevent			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change†	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	rcentage of studen index, based on s							
12.6	10.0	11.2	10.7	9.1	12.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud and age-specific 1				mass index,			
8.3	7.0	8.6	8.2	5.9	9.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percer	ntage of students v	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
30.2	23.9	25.6	26.6	21.5	28.2	No linear change	No change, 2005-2011 No change, 2011-2015	Increased
QN70: Percer	ntage of students v	who were trying t	to lose weight					
			43.2	42.5	44.6	No linear change	Not available**	No change
QN77: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not drink	a can, bottle, or	glass of soda or p	oop (not			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Weight Manage	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	Percentage of stud (not including die							
	30.6	28.8	24.2	18.7	19.0	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available¶	No change
	Percentage of stud (not including die 20.9					Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
	Percentage of stud r day (not including							
	10.7	11.5	8.2	5.9	5.1	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change
QN79: Percer	ntage of students v	who did not eat b	reakfast (during t	he 7 days before	the survey)			,
				14.1	13.4	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* eight Managei	nent and Dietar He	•	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QNBK7DAY: the survey)	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7 o	lays (during the 7	days before			
sar (ey)				34.4	39.9	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Physical Activity	7							
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (do	tage of students ving any kind of plome of the time de	hysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	day on 5 or ade them			
			49.6	41.6	47.1	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing eathe hard some o	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he				
			13.2	18.3	13.9	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
all 7 days (doi:	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity that	at increased their	heart rate and ma				
			25.7	20.9	26.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percenschool day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	an average			
26.8	22.1	26.6	23.9	21.1	20.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Physical Activity		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change†	Quadratic Change†	Change from 2013-2015 [§]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who played video ing that was not s						
20.9	22.0	22.4	25.0	36.6	37.0	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN83: Percent average week v	tage of students when they were in	who attended phy n school)	sical education c	lasses on 1 or mo	re days (in an			
40.9	39.5	42.5	39.2	35.0	36.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ercentage of stud when they were in	lents who attende n school)	d physical educa	tion classes on al	1 5 days (in an			
26.1	27.8	33.6	28.9	21.6	27.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who played on at 2 months before t		eam (run by their	school or			
47.5	47.9	53.1	49.8	48.2	50.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Other	He	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ages	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN89: Percen before the surv		who made mostly	A's or B's in sch	ool (during the 1	2 months			
66.8	70.7	66.6	76.1	73.6	77.4	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

hite* e-Added								
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v alcohol (one or m				l who had			
		20.4	14.9	14.8	12.9	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available¶	No change
QN91: Percer 30 days before	ntage of students verthe survey)	who carried a gur	n on school prope	rty (on at least 1	day during the			
	2.0	0.8	1.2	1.8	0.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN92: Percer	ntage of students v	who most of the t	ime or always fee	el safe and secure	at school			
	80.3	83.5	82.3	81.8	82.3	No linear change	Not available	No change
	ntage of students virlfriend (during t			sically hurt on pu	rpose by their			
9.7	11.4	12.1	11.0	9.0	7.8	No linear change	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
	ntage of students v				rty (once or	,	,	
	, ,	,						

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Не	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	ntage of students ve, monthly, weekly							
		28.5	26.6	22.2	19.7	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available [¶]	No change
ON96: Percer	ntage of students v	who have been el	ectronically bulli	ed (such as throu	oh e-mail			
chat rooms, in	ntage of students vistant messaging, the 12 months before	web sites, or text ore the survey)	messaging, once	or twice, monthly	y, weekly, or			
chat rooms, in	stant messaging,	web sites, or text				No linear change	Not available	No change
chat rooms, indaily, during the daily, during the daily. Percentermail, chat ro	stant messaging,	web sites, or text ore the survey) 25.2 who have electror saging, web sites,	messaging, once 24.4 nically bullied sor or text messagin	23.7 meone else (such	y, weekly, or 21.4 as through	No linear change	Not available	No change
chat rooms, indaily, during the daily, during the daily. Percentermail, chat ro	nstant messaging, the 12 months before the 12 month	web sites, or text ore the survey) 25.2 who have electror saging, web sites,	messaging, once 24.4 nically bullied sor or text messagin	23.7 meone else (such	y, weekly, or 21.4 as through	No linear change Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available Not available	No change No change
QN97: Percer e-mail, chat ro weekly, or daii	nstant messaging, the 12 months before the 12 month	web sites, or text ore the survey) 25.2 who have electror saging, web sites, months before the 19.0 who reported that	messaging, once 24.4 nically bullied sor or text messaging e survey) 15.2 their property ha	23.7 meone else (such g, once or twice, 10.8 d been stolen or o	as through monthly, 10.9 deliberately			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

nite* e-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	_				
	ntage of students v cutting or burnin vey)							
		19.5	18.4	24.9	18.2	No linear change	Not available¶	Decreased
	entage of students Garrett, Beechnu	t, Skoal, Skoal B	andits, or Copenl	nagen)	•			
		16.2	20.6	14.0	18.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students o called a hookah,			o smoke tobacco.	even one or			
		31.3	42.3	40.6	39.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN102: Perce	entage of students a, or narghile on a	who currently us t least 1 day durin	ed a waterpipe to	smoke tobacco (sfore the survey)	(also called a			
		17.8	21.7	21.8	16.4	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students			someone who wa	s smoking			
cigarettes (on	at least 1 day duri	ng me / days bei	ore the survey)					

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

/hite* te-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students e as never allowe			king cigarettes in	nside the home			
		86.0	87.3	88.4	90.9	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available [¶]	No change
	entage of students ing the 30 days b			ohol on school pro	operty (on at			
6.6	4.2	5.0	4.8	4.3	3.2	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students lays before the su		ana on school pro	operty (one or mo	ore times			
4.5	4.3	5.7	4.3	5.7	4.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students ase (one or more				g powder,			
5.0	4.9	3.4	3.6	4.5	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

hite* e-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	ntage of students inhaled any paints ey)							
6.6	4.3	5.3	3.1	3.2	2.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students nk", or "ice", one							
3.5	2.7	1.6	2.9	2.0	2.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students the 30 days before		ed ecstasy (also	called "MDMA"	, one or more			
		6.4	6.0	4.3	2.8	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available¶	No change
	ntage of students uch as marijuana							
-	17.4	18.7	19.8	18.9	13.1	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. ¶Not enough years of data to calculate.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

hite* te-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
•	entage of students ring the 30 days b	•		iter drugs to get h	nigh (one or			
					4.7	D 1 2005 2015	N d4:1	Decreased
9.2	8.5	10.0	8.7	8.7	4.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
9.2 QN114: Perce themselves the	8.5 entage of students first time they ha	who reported the	eir partners were	three or more yea	ars older than	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadranc change	Decreased
9.2 QN114: Perce themselves the	entage of students	who reported the	eir partners were	three or more yea	ars older than	No linear change	Not available	No change
9.2 QN114: Perce themselves the intercourse) QN115: Perce	entage of students	who reported the d sexual intercount 16.9	pir partners were urse (among stude 17.3	three or more yea ents who have ha 16.0 er adults in their f	ars older than d sexual			

14.0

No linear change

Not available

11.8

14.9

15.6

No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Vhite* ite-Added								
	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages	Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2013-2015 §	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students gaining weight (al							
15.0	12.8	11.5	14.3	15.7	11.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	entage of students weight or to keep							
7.9	6.6	6.3	6.8	6.0	7.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	entage of students t (during the 30 da			lose weight or to	keep from			
6.2	5.9	4.5	5.4	7.6	6.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

spanic/Latino jury and Viole	ence	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN9: Percenta	age of students w	ho rarely or neve	r wore a seat belt	(when riding in a	a car driven			
19.1	20.2	18.0	17.6	12.3	10.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
30 days before	tage of students v the survey, amor e survey)	who drove when one students who h	drinking alcohol (nad driven a car o	one or more time r other vehicle du	es during the uring the 30			
QN11: Percen 30 days before days before the	the survey, amor	who drove when one students who h	drinking alcohol (nad driven a car o	one or more time r other vehicle du	es during the aring the 30	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
30 days before the days before the QN13: Percen	the survey, amor	ng students who h	nad driven a car o	r other vehicle du	8.9	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
30 days before the days before the QN13: Percen	the survey, amore survey)	ng students who h	nad driven a car o	r other vehicle du	8.9	No linear change Decreased, 2005-2015	Not available [§] No quadratic change	No change
30 days before the days before the QN13: Percenday during the 19.1	the survey, amore survey) tage of students v 30 days before the	who carried a weate survey) 17.5	nad driven a car o apon (such as a gu 16.8	10.1 un, knife, or club	8.9 on at least 1			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ispanic/Latino jury and Viole	ence	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN15: Percer club on at leas	ntage of students w	who carried a wea	npon on school pr he survey)	roperty (such as a	gun, knife, or			
6.2	9.0	5.3	5.0	4.2	4.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v							
9.1	9.3	8.6	8.6	8.1	7.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	ntage of students v , knife, or club on							
						Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
(such as a gun	, knife, or club on 12.5 stage of students v	e or more times o	luring the 12 moi	on this before the su	7.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

spanic/Latino ury and Viole	ence	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN20: Percentimes during the	ntage of students v	who were in a phyore the survey)	ysical fight on scl	nool property (on	e or more			
12.4	14.5	12.1	11.3	8.5	7.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN21: Percent	ntage of students v	who were ever ph	ysically forced to	have sexual inte	rcourse			
	10.0	11.3	9.2	10.4	10.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
10.8	10.8	11.5	,. <u>-</u>			č		
QN26: Percen	atage of students whey stopped doing	vho felt sad or ho	ppeless (almost ev					
QN26: Percen	atage of students v	vho felt sad or ho	ppeless (almost ev			No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
QN26: Percen a row so that the 35.8	atage of students values topped doing 36.4	who felt sad or hog some usual activated 39.1	opeless (almost exvities during the	12 months before 32.4	the survey) 39.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ury and Viole		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v		about how they w	vould attempt sui	cide (during			
16.0	11.5	12.0	15.9	14.8	20.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Increased, 2009-2015	Increased
QN29: Percen before the surv	tage of students vey)	who attempted su	icide (one or mor	e times during th	e 12 months			
12.5	9.7	10.1	11.3	10.3	10.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	itage of students v		icide that resulted rse (during the 12					
	nad to be treated t	3						

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ispanic/Latino obacco Use								
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	nges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN31: Percen	tage of students	who ever tried cig	garette smoking (even one or two	ouffs)			
61.7	56.9	57.7	47.8	47.2	36.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN32: Percen time)	tage of students	who smoked a wh	nole cigarette bef	ore age 13 years	(for the first			
16.7	14.5	13.6	12.5	9.6	7.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: Percen days before the		who currently sm	oked cigarettes (on at least 1 day o	luring the 30			
17.5	18.0	18.7	14.9	14.1	9.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	Decreased
	ercentage of stude 2 30 days before	ents who currentl the survey)	y frequently smo	ked cigarettes (or	n 20 or more			
4.9	4.4	3.2	3.3	2.7	2.3	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of str lays before the su	udents who curre	ntly smoked ciga	rettes daily (on a	1 30 days			
3.5	2.6	2.0	2.3	2.4	1.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanic/Latino Tobacco Use								
	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who smoked more ts who currently						
11.7	4.5	3.6	6.2	7.9	3.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who tried to quit sing the 12 months			ts who			
54.0	55.7	49.6	51.1	47.8	51.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who currently use ne 30 days before		acco (chewing tob	pacco, snuff,			
		4.9	6.0	6.8	2.8	No linear change	Not available§	Decreased
QN38: Percent at least 1 day du	age of students varing the 30 days	who currently smo	oked cigars (ciga y)	rs, cigarillos, or l	ittle cigars on			
		17.2	13.7	10.1	7.7	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
		nts who currently days before the si		cigars, or smokel	ess tobacco			
	. -	26.9	23.6	21.2	13.8	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

20 20 c of students who	009	2011	2013	2015	_					
of students who				2007 2009 2011 2013 2015						
ore the survey)	currently smol	ked cigarettes	or cigars (on at	least 1 day						
2:	5.9	21.7	18.7	12.2	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	Decreased			
			garettes, cigars, o	or smokeless						
70	5.3	82.3	82.0	87.6	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	Increased			
	tage of students w day during the 30	day during the 30 days before th 76.3	tage of students who did not currently use cig day during the 30 days before the survey) 76.3 82.3	tage of students who did not currently use cigarettes, cigars, of day during the 30 days before the survey) 76.3 82.3 82.0	tage of students who did not currently use cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless day during the 30 days before the survey)	tage of students who did not currently use cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless day during the 30 days before the survey) 76.3 82.3 82.0 87.6 Increased, 2009-2015	tage of students who did not currently use cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless day during the 30 days before the survey) 76.3 82.3 82.0 87.6 Increased, 2009-2015 Not available			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN42: Percen than a few sips		who drank alcoho	l before age 13 y	ears (for the first	time other			
29.5	27.4	28.9	24.3	20.9	20.0	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN43: Percen least 1 day dur	tage of students ving the 30 days b	who currently dra efore the survey)	nk alcohol (at lea	ast one drink of al	cohol on at			
50.0	50.5	46.2	45.4	37.0	30.9	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
QN44: Percen	tage of students v s on at least 1 day	who drank five or during the 30 da	more drinks of a	llcohol in a row (vey)	within a			
32.9	31.7	29.8	27.1	21.0	15.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	Decreased, 2005-2011 Decreased, 2011-2015	Decreased
ON46: Percen		who usually obtai arrently drank alc		hey drank by som	neone giving it			
				36.2	49.0	Increased, 2013-2015	Not available§	Increased
to them (amon	tage of students v	who ever used ma	urijuana (one or n	nore times during	their life)			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ispanic/Latino Icohol and Oth	O	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	ges		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN48: Percen	tage of students v	who tried marijua	na before age 13	years (for the first	st time)			
13.5	14.3	10.7	14.4	11.8	12.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN49: Percen	tage of students ve survey)	who currently use	ed marijuana (one	or more times de	uring the 30			
17.8	21.1	22.2	22.5	22.8	23.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vase, one or more			of cocaine, such a	as powder,			
18.9	17.9	14.9	12.3	11.2	10.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students vans, or inhaled an							
	14.6	13.1	13.4	11.1	9.1	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

spanic/Latino cohol and Oth	<u> </u>	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students v		roin (also called '	'smack," "junk,"	or "China			
4.2	4.4	3.6	3.5	5.3	3.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v			(also called "spe	ed," "crystal,"			
9.2	8.8	5.9	4.5	4.6	4.6	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students v		roids without a d	octor's prescription	on (pills or			
7.0	6.6	4.2	5.4	6.1	3.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN59: Percen property (durin	tage of students v	who were offered before the survey	, sold, or given any)	n illegal drug on	school			
42.4	40.1	36.9	37.8	32.1	30.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

ispanic/Latino exual Behaviors	i							
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QN60: Percent	tage of students	who ever had sex	ual intercourse	,				
47.2	54.9	53.3	50.9	48.0	42.1	Decreased, 2005-2015	No change, 2005-2009 Decreased, 2009-2015	No change
QN61: Percent	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse before	age 13 years (for	the first time)			
6.1	6.3	6.6	7.4	5.5	4.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN62: Percent their life)	tage of students	who had sexual in	ntercourse with fo	our or more perso	ns (during			
13.8	16.6	16.8	15.7	11.5	10.8	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN63: Percent least one person	tage of students v	who were current	ly sexually active survey)	e (sexual intercou	rse with at			
33.3	38.3	37.0	34.3	32.9	32.4	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
		who drank alcoho	ol or used drugs b	efore last sexual	intercourse			
22.7	23.4	18.7	19.9	19.4	22.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

spanic/Latino xual Behavior	s	alth Risk Behav	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	tage of students vere currently sex		om (during last se	xual intercourse a	among			
52.2	52.1	57.8	56.9	56.8	56.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	stage of students vancy among stude 9.8				course to	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
(e.g., Implanor	Percentage of student or Nexplanon) (were currently sex	before last sexua						
				0.0	2.8	Not available	Not available§	No change
QNSHPARG:	Percentage of stu	udents who used g (e.g., NuvaRing	a shot (e.g., Depo	-Provera), patch ual intercourse ar	(e.g., nong students			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

cual Behavior	~	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	•		
	control ring (befo	ore last sexual int	birth control pills ercourse to preve					
	ently sexually act	live)						
	ently sexually act	iive)		16.9	17.9	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
ho were currently who were curre	Percentage of st	udents who used	both a condom dring before last surrently sexually	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
ho were currently who were curre	Percentage of st	udents who used	ring before last se	uring and birth co	ontrol pills; an	No linear change No linear change	Not available [§] Not available	No change
NDUALBC: UD or implan TD and pregr	Percentage of stat; or a shot, patch nancy among stud	udents who used a, or birth control dents who were co	ring before last se	uring and birth coexual intercourse active) 7.0 d to prevent preg	ontrol pills; an (to prevent			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ispanic/Latino Veight Manager	ment and Dietar	y Behaviors						
	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	ages		Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
QNOWT: Per for body mass chart	centage of studen index, based on s	ats who were over	rweight (>= 85th ific reference dat	percentile but <9 a from the 2000 (5th percentile CDC growth			
15.0	18.8	16.2	17.1	14.2	16.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud				mass index,			
15.9	16.5	15.1	14.9	14.6	11.5	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
QN69: Percen	tage of students v	who described the	emselves as sligh	tly or very overw	eight			
34.3	35.2	33.5	32.9	29.9	35.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN70: Percen	tage of students v	who were trying t	o lose weight					
			52.2	50.8	53.6	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	itage of students v				oop (not			
merduing dict	17.9	18.5	23.5	27.3	23.9	Increased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †				
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_						
QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)												
	28.0	26.5	24.0	20.3	21.8	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available§	No change				
	Percentage of stud											
	Percentage of stud (not including die					Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change				
QNSODA3: 1	(not including die	t soda or diet pop 18.1 ents who drank a	13.5 can, bottle, or gl	ys before the surv 14.1 ass of soda or po	12.3 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available	No change				
QNSODA3: 1	(not including die 19.0 Percentage of stud	t soda or diet pop 18.1 ents who drank a	13.5 can, bottle, or gl	ys before the surv 14.1 ass of soda or po	12.3 p three or	Decreased, 2007-2015 Decreased, 2007-2015	Not available Not available	No change				
QNSODA3: 1 more times pe	(not including die 19.0 Percentage of stud r day (not includin	t soda or diet pop 18.1 ents who drank and diet soda or di 9.8	13.5 can, bottle, or glet pop, during the 6.9	14.1 ass of soda or poe 7 days before the 5.7	p three or survey) 5.9	,						

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ight Manage	ment and Dietar He	•	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
NBK7DAY: ne survey)	Percentage of st	udents who ate b	reakfast on all 7	days (during the 7	days before			
•				28.1	33.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino Physical Activity								
	Нег	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	ges	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 †	
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
more days (doi	tage of students v ng any kind of ph ome of the time do	nysical activity th	at increased their	heart rate and m	lay on 5 or ade them			
			45.0	42.6	45.0	No linear change	Not available§	No change
activity on at le	Percentage of stue east 1 day (doing athe hard some of	any kind of phys	ical activity that i	increased their he				
			18.2	16.0	16.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
all 7 days (doir	Percentage of stung any kind of phome of the time (c	ysical activity tha	it increased their	heart rate and ma	tes per day on ade them			
			24.2	23.4	24.9	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN81: Percen school day)	tage of students v	who watched tele	vision 3 or more	hours per day (or	n an average			
41.7	35.7	39.7	33.2	32.0	29.7	Decreased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

ysical Activity		alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
				nes or used a com				
14.6	17.6	20.3	27.6	36.8	43.9	Increased, 2005-2015	No quadratic change	No change
	tage of students when they were is		sical education c	lasses on 1 or mo	re days (in an			
44.4	43.5	41.2	44.5	43.7	42.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage of stud when they were i		ed physical educa	tion classes on all	1 5 days (in an			
25.7	27.6	30.5	30.7	23.2	23.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who played on at 2 months before		eam (run by their	school or			
community gro								

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

His _] Oth	panic/Latino er								
		Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	iges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]
	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			

64.1

Increased, 2005-2015

No quadratic change

No change

QN89: Percentage of students who made mostly A's or B's in school (during the 12 months

51.9

58.1

54.3

before the survey) 51.9

47.2

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

oanic/Latino ·Added		alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	tage of students valcohol (one or m				who had			
		32.6	26.7	19.5	16.2	Decreased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
QN91: Percen 30 days before	tage of students v	who carried a gun	on school prope	rty (on at least 1	day during the			
	2.7	2.0	2.4	1.9	1.6	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN92: Percen	tage of students v	who most of the t	ime or always fee	el safe and secure	at school			
	69.3	75.3	73.5	72.0	76.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
QN93: Percen	tage of students v	who were ever hit he 12 months bef	t, slapped, or phy fore the survey)	sically hurt on pu	rpose by their			
boyiriena or gi	13.3	11.6	11.0	10.4	10.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

28.3

No linear change

Not available

25.4

23.4

22.5

No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino	
Site-Added	

	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	ntage of students v e, monthly, weekly							
		19.8	22.7	16.9	16.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change
			ectronically bullie					
chat rooms, in	ntage of students vistant messaging, vihe 12 months before	web sites, or text				No linear change	Not available	No change
chat rooms, in daily, during t QN97: Percer e-mail, chat ro	istant messaging, v	web sites, or text ore the survey) 21.0 who have electror saging, web sites,	messaging, once 18.8 nically bullied sor or text messaging	15.9	y, weekly, or 18.7 as through	No linear change	Not available	No change
chat rooms, in daily, during t QN97: Percer e-mail, chat ro	estant messaging, viele 12 months before the 12 mon	web sites, or text ore the survey) 21.0 who have electror saging, web sites,	messaging, once 18.8 nically bullied sor or text messaging	15.9	y, weekly, or 18.7 as through	No linear change No linear change	Not available Not available	No change
QN97: Percere-mail, chat ro weekly, or dai	estant messaging, viele 12 months before the 12 mon	web sites, or text ore the survey) 21.0 who have electror saging, web sites, months before the 15.2 who reported that	messaging, once 18.8 nically bullied sor or text messaging esurvey) 13.6 their property ha	15.9 neone else (such g, once or twice, 10.7 d been stolen or o	as through monthly, 13.8 deliberately			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latin	0
Site-Added	

	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	ntage of students v cutting or burnin vey)							
		19.3	20.0	19.2	21.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change
Redman, Levi	Garrett, Beechnu	it, Skoal, Skoal B 12.7	andits, or Copenh 12.4	agen) 12.5	10.7	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students o called a hookah,			smoke tobacco,	even one or			
				smoke tobacco,	even one or 40.9	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
two puffs (also		, shisha, or narghi 24.4 s who currently us	le) 34.9 ed a waterpipe to	42.5 smoke tobacco (40.9	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available	No change
wo puffs (also	o called a hookah, entage of students	, shisha, or narghi 24.4 s who currently us	le) 34.9 ed a waterpipe to	42.5 smoke tobacco (40.9	Increased, 2009-2015 Increased, 2009-2015	Not available Not available	No change Decreased
QN102: Perchookah, shish	o called a hookah, entage of students	s who currently us t least 1 day during 11.6	ed a waterpipe tong the 30 days be 14.7	smoke tobacco (fore the survey) 24.7	40.9 (also called a	, 		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

Hispanic/Latino

	Неа	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [†]		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
	entage of students re as never allowed			oking cigarettes in	aside the home			
		87.1	87.3	89.0	92.2	Increased, 2009-2015	Not available§	No change
	entage of students			ohol on school pro	operty (on at	· .		
	entage of students ring the 30 days be 6.4			ohol on school pro	operty (on at 5.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
7.8 QN106: Perce	ring the 30 days be	5.6 who used mariju	7.8	8.4	5.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
7.8 QN106: Perce	6.4 entage of students	5.6 who used mariju	7.8	8.4	5.4	No linear change No linear change	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change
east 1 day dur 7.8 QN106: Perce during the 30 4.6 QN107: Perce	6.4 entage of students days before the su	store the survey) 5.6 who used marijuarvey) 4.8 who currently us	7.8 ana on school pr 7.1 sed any form of c	8.4 operty (one or mo	5.4 ore times 7.8			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. §Not enough years of data to calculate.

Arizona High School Survey 10-year Trend Analysis Report

	He	alth Risk Behav	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015			
	entage of students inhaled any paint yey)							

5.8

19.2

No linear change

No linear change

Not available§

Not available

No change

No change

QN110: Percentage of students who currently used ecstasy (also called "MDMA", one or more

QN111: Percentage of students who attended school under the influence of alcohol or other illegal drugs (such as marijuana or cocaine, one or more times during the 12 months before the

7.9

21.4

7.7

18.3

5.6

21.1

survey)

times during the 30 days before the survey)

21.3

Hispanic/Latino

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

-Added	Hea	alth Risk Behavi	ior and Percenta	Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 †		
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	-		
	entage of students ring the 30 days b			ter drugs to get h	igh (one or			
8.5	8.8	7.2	10.0	8.7	6.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN114: Perce themselves the intercourse)	entage of students first time they ha	who reported the ad sexual intercou	23.3	three or more yea ents who have ha	rs older than d sexual	No linear change	Not available [§]	Increased
	entage of students ut what they expe				amily talked			
	66.9	68.1	66.6	67.4	64.5	No linear change	Not available	No change
	entage of students health teacher, so							

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. *Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanic/Latino Site-Added	He	alth Risk Behavi	or and Percenta	oges		Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2013-2015 [↑]
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2015	_		
		who went withous ocalled fasting,						
13.5	14.1	15.1	14.1	16.2	17.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who took some of from gaining we						
7.8	6.2	7.7	9.5	9.3	8.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		who vomited or ays before the sur		lose weight or to	keep from			
5.9	8.2	6.3	6.2	10.1	7.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. † Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.